

# **Health Scrutiny Panel**

15 June 2015

Time 2.00 pm Public Meeting? YES Type of meeting Scrutiny

Venue Committee Room 3 - Civic Centre, St Peter's Square, Wolverhampton WV1 1SH

## Membership

Chair Cllr Milkinderpal Jaspal (Lab)

Vice-chair To be elected

Labour Conservative Liberal Democrat

Cllr Harbans Bagri Cllr Craig Collingswood Cllr Val Evans Cllr Jasbir Jaspal Cllr Peter O'Neill Cllr Stephen Simkins Cllr Mark Evans Cllr Wendy Thompson

## **Co-opted HealthWatch Members**

Jean Hancox David Hellyar Ralph Oakley

Quorum for this meeting is two Councillors.

#### Information for the Public

If you have any queries about this meeting, please contact the democratic support team:

**Contact** Jonathan Pearce

Tel: 01902 556162 or jonathan.pearce@wolverhampton.gov.uk

Address Democratic Support, Civic Centre, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, St Peter's Square, Wolverhampton WV1 1RL

Copies of other agendas and reports are available from:

Website http://wolverhampton.moderngov.co.uk/mgListCommittees.aspx?bcr=1

**Email** <u>democratic.support@wolverhampton.gov.uk</u>

**Tel** 01902 555043

Please take note of the protocol for filming and recording of, and use of social media in, meetings, copies of which are displayed in the meeting room.

Some items are discussed in private because of their confidential or commercial nature. These reports are not available to the public.

# **Agenda**

## Part 1 – items open to the press and public

Item No. Title

#### **MEETING BUSINESS ITEMS**

- 1 Apologies
- 2 Declarations of Interest
- Minutes of previous meeting (Pages 3 6)
  [To approve the minutes of the previous meeting as a correct record.]
- 4 **Matters Arising**[To consider any matters arising from the minutes.]
- 5 Nominations for the election of Vice-Chair

#### **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

- The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust's Quality Account 2014/15
  [Lynne Fieldhouse, Deputy Director of Nursing, Wolverhampton NHS Trust to provide a presentation and invite comments from scrutiny panel relating to the Trust's Quality Account 2014/15]
- 7 **Sexual Health Consultation Report** (Pages 7 24) [To evaluate the priorities identified in the sexual health consultation with a view to endorsing Public Health's proposals to procure new sexual health services.]



# **Health Scrutiny Panel**

Minutes - 12 March 2015

#### **Attendance**

#### **Members of the Health Scrutiny Panel**

Cllr Greg Brackenridge Cllr Claire Darke (Chair) Jean Hancox Cllr Jasbir Jaspal Cllr Milkinderpal Jaspal Cllr Peter O'Neill Ralph Oakley Cllr Daniel Warren

#### **Employees**

Viv Griffin Jonathan Pearce Service Director - Disability and Mental Health Graduate Management Trainee

## Part 1 – items open to the press and public

Item No. Title

#### 1 Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Evans and David Hellyar.

#### 2 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest received for this meeting.

#### 3 Minutes of the previous meeting (15 January 2015)

Resolved:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 15 January 2015 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

#### 4 Matters arising

There were no matters arising.

#### 5 Mental Health Commissioning Strategy

Noreen Dowd, Interim Director Wolverhampton Clinical Commissioning Group, introduced the Mental Health Commissioning Strategy report which is the joint strategy of the Local Authority and the CCG. She outlined how the CCG has been successful in a national funding process and is part of a small group of applicants that will receive funding to support mental health goals. This funding will be used for tier three and four services.

The Interim Director WCCG drew the Panel's attention to the healthy levels of funding Wolverhampton receives to support mental health, particularly in comparison to its main neighbour, Sandwell. Mental health investment is substantial; nonetheless, there is still need to find best value for money.

The Interim Director WCCG explained how the previous mental health strategy (2010-15) was no longer fit for purpose: diagnosis is not to a high enough standard and there are issues relating to the single point of access structure. A new design will be introduced based on patient choice; this will be a step care model that coordinates with the Better Care Fund. Its major focus will be prevention, re-ablement and recovery, achieved by building community services for people with mental health issues. Sarah Fellows, Mental Health Commissioning Manager WCCG, outlined a case study of the new strategy summarising the need for prevention, choice of care and the steps model. The strategy aims to provide constant community support in order to prevent mental health crises blocking the health service.

Cllr O'Neill sought clarification about whether people could be sectioned under the Mental Health Act even if there was no immediate bed capacity. He voiced concern that if individuals were not taken into care they could become involved in more harmful situations that may require police involvement and this may then lead to arrests. The Interim Director WCCG explained the number of beds should not be an issue: care must be provided for individuals in need of mental health services. She noted that the crisis concordat has materialised as a result of similar concerns, to ensure people don't end up in police custody when they should have received treatment. She added that the concordat has shared pathways with other agencies meaning the same strategies are used consistently. Performance data and statistics are available to evidence the positive impact of the crisis concordat.

Cllr Jaspal expressed concern that patient choice of care ultimately depends on what care is available in the area. He also questioned the validity of mental health assessments by phone. The Interim Director WCCG reassured the Panel that protocols are in place to ensure assessments are conducted thoroughly. Non face-to-face assessments are also not that common.

Cllr Darke queried how the CCG is monitoring its services. This is done through a range of ways, such as:

- quality review and monitoring services
- contract specifications based on outcomes
- monthly meetings with main provider
- monthly and quarterly reviews with performance indicators
- quality visits
- unannounced visits
- CQC inspections

Cllr Darke also queried how service delivery is held to account. The Interim Director WCCG explained there are clear mechanisms in place, such as:

- Reporting to Health and Wellbeing Board where Better Care Fund proposals are considered
- Monitoring the number of emergency mental health admissions
- Reporting to the CCG's Joint Health And Social Care Board, the Transformation Commissioning Board

#### National reporting

Cllr Brackenridge sought reassurance about how mental health issues are being addressed in relation to younger people and schools. Viv Griffin, Service Director for Disability and Mental Health, outlined the role of the Headstart Programme, which has been adopted by 18 schools in Wolverhampton. The programme helps staff build 'resilience skills' into pupils, and has also been shared with police, parent groups and educational psychologists. Its key focus is to help staff identify the early signs of mental health issues in children and then support them, to prevent problems escalating.

HealthWatch members sought reassurance about CAMHS and how children move into adult mental health care. The Service Director for Disability and Mental Health explained children will not be moved into adult services until they are ready. CAMHS funding will also link with adult services.

Cllr Darke queried whether specialist services would be supported by the new Mental Health Strategy. The Interim Director WCCG explained there has been an issue previously of a disjoint between the CCG and NHS England when delivering care - this will be improved upon. She stressed the value of the new model's emphasis on community support and how this could help hard to reach groups.

#### Recommendations

- 1) To note the Mental Health Commissioning Strategy report.
- To receive performance data that highlights the impacts of the crisis concordat.

#### 6 Decommissioning and Disinvestment Policy

Claire Skidmore, Chief Finance and Operating Officer Wolverhampton Clinical Commissioning Group (WCCG), introduced the Decommissioning and Disinvestment Policy report. She explained the CCG needs to make £37 million in savings over the next five years; the need for finding value for money in services is therefore of great importance. She added that the CCG has been engaging with stakeholders about this policy to ensure that its decisions are transparent and just.

Cllr O'Neill queried the CCG's criteria for starting a consultation exercise. The Chief Finance and Operating Officer WCCG explained there are a range of factors, but mainly a significant service change influences decisions about consultation. HealthWatch members sought reassurance about this process. The Chief of Finance and Operating Officer WCCG reiterated that the CCG Governing Body makes decisions about disinvestment after receiving a range of feedback. All CCG expenditure is reviewed based on best value for money. HealthWatch members voiced concern that decommissioning will lead to the unequal provision of treatment depending on location. The Chief Finance and Operating Officer WCCG reassured the Panel that factors such as location are taken into account by the CCG when services are reviewed. A current example of where equal provision of treatment is considered is that Wolverhampton CCG has signed an agreement with partner CCGs (Birmingham, Black Country, Stafford) to ensure that "Procedures of Limited Clinical Value" are commissioned equally across the region.

#### Recommendation

1) To note the report.

#### 7 Musculoskeletal (MSK) Services Consultation

Sharon Sidhu, Solutions and Development Officer Wolverhampton Clinical Commissioning Group (WCCG), introduced the MSK consultation report. She explained the CCG's proposed model will be more patient journey focussed and better for money.

The CCG has undertaken early pre-engagement work to determine how patients feel the service can be improved. This has helped form the CCG's consultation document and proposal. The CCG intends to run its consultation from 16 March 2015 to 18 June 2015. Most of the events and contact points have been arranged, but it is expected more groups will become involved when the consultation begins.

HealthWatch members queried how the consultation will be advertised. Solutions and Development Officer WCCG confirmed documents will go live on 16 March (following the Panel's endorsement) and will be circulated in libraries, health centres, and GP surgeries. Technology and social media will also be used to publicise the consultation. The CCG will also use a database of contacts to target certain groups as well as seeking support from HealthWatch. The consultation will be published on the CCG's website, and the Solutions and Development Officer WCCG will return to the Panel after its completion to present a summary report.

#### Recommendations

- To endorse the Musculoskeletal Services consultation (16 March 2015 18 June 2015).
- 2) To receive an outcome report after the conclusion of the Musculoskeletal consultation.

Agenda Item No: 7



# **Health Scrutiny Panel**

15 June 2015

Report title Outcomes of sexual health consultation

Cabinet member with lead

responsibility

Councillor Sandra Samuels

Health and Wellbeing

Wards affected All

Accountable director Linda Sanders, Strategic Director

Originating service Public Health

Accountable employee(s) Katie Spence Consultant in Public Health

Ravi Seehra Commissioning Officer

Tel 01902 557949

Email Ravi.seehra@wolverhampton.gov.uk

Katie.spence@wolverjmapton.gov.uk

Report to be/has been

considered by

Paper approved for submission through PLT and following a briefing with Cllr

Sandra Samuels

#### Recommendation(s) for action or decision:

- The Panel is recommended to approve the priorities identified from the consultation for translation into local service requirements.
- To endorse the next steps to procure in two phases; phase one from July 2015 for integrated Contraceptive and Sexual Health services (CaSH) and Genito urinary medicine (GUM), and phase two from December 2015; a primary care aligned model and potential investment to respond to the specific needs of young people.

# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

#### 1.0 Purpose

1.1 To inform Health Scrutiny Panel of the outcomes of the consultation process for the recommissioning of sexual health services and set out the next steps of the procurement programme.

#### 2.0 Background

- 2.1 Health Scrutiny Panel received the consultation plan for the re-commissioning of sexual health services on 11 December 2014. The consultation ran between 1 November 2014 and 31 January 2015 and covered a wide range of groups including young people, General Practitioners (GP's), pharmacists, existing workforce, stakeholders, and the general public.
- 2.2 The Health Scrutiny Panel requested that the outcomes of the consultation be presented once consultation was over.

#### 3.0 Outcomes of the consultation

- 3.1 The final summary of the outcomes of the consultation is attached as Appendix 1. Overall, there was consensus that an integrated model of Contraceptive and Sexual Health services (CaSH) and Genito urinary medicine (GUM), where both services are located in the same building, was a positive move and supported as an approach by the current workforce, wider stakeholders and the public.
- 3.2 A wide range of participants engaged in the consultation process; 492 people completed the online survey, including current service users of CaSH and GUM. Fifty seven delegates, primarily members of the existing workforce, attended two consultation sessions, and 35 members of the public gave feedback at a pop up shop in the Mander Centre. Young person-specific sessions were held at five schools, one special school, a drop in at Base 25, and with a young parents group. Twenty two service users of the Terence Higgins Trust completed feedback questionnaire; stakeholder feedback forms were received as well general feedback emails. The Local Medical Committee (LMC) discussed the proposals at their meeting on 25 November 2014. GPs were also consulted at 'Team W'; a learning group of GPs in the City. The Local Pharmaceutical Committee also responded to the proposed changes positively and wanted to be more involved in delivering sexual health services.
- 3.3 The feedback that we received showed that participants were most concerned about confidentiality, engaging with young people, accessibility and effective promotion/communication of available services. The key issues that were highlighted, and will be taken forward, from the consultation process are as follows:
  - Effective communication and promotion of all services through the use of modern technology; investigate the potential to create a single point of contact (SPOC) directory for both service users and professionals to use for signposting.
  - Develop a robust co-ordinated sexual health offer enhancing outcomes,

# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

particularly to vulnerable groups, by linking up with other key services such as maternity, Local Authority Children and Family Services, Drug and Alcohol Services and the Sexual Assault Referral Unit

- Develop communication, health promotion and social marketing plans to address the stigma associated with sexual health amongst young people, encourage use of services and educate young people on their rights to confidentiality
- Ensure that a young person focused offer is developed with particular links to young people services including schools
- 'You're Welcome Standards' should be adopted to drive quality
- Expand sexual health services provided by pharmacies in the new model
- Scope further developmental work and training with GPs and Practice Nurses to ensure that engagement and capacity building is prioritised prior to rolling out the primary care element
- Address equality gaps through an equality impact assessment that is shared with potential providers
- Detailed summary of the survey to be distributed to potential providers in order to ensure that comments and suggestions are incorporated into service delivery plan

#### 4.0 Next Steps

- 4.1 Based on the consultation feedback, and particularly from GP's, further development work is needed so that an effective primary care sexual health model can be established in partnership with GP's. In order to do this the procurement timeline will be amended and completed in two phases;
- 4.2 Phase one will include GUM, CaSH, pharmacy and Human immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) prevention services and this will commence in July 2015. Phase two will include a revised GP model with the potential to build a community approach to young people and their sexual and reproductive health. This phase will commence in December 2015. The intention is that a young people's approach will be developed and adopted throughout phase one and two.

#### 5.0 Financial implications

5.1 Funding for Public Health is provided to the Council by the Department of Health in the form of a ring-fenced grant. The total funding settlement for Public Health for 2015/16 is £19.3 million of which approximately £2.9 million has been set aside to fund sexual health contracts.

[NM/11052015/P]

# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

#### 6.0 Legal implications

6.1 The legal requirement to complete a 12 week consultation has been met; as part of this process efforts have been made to engage with a variety of groups to ensure there is a range of feedback.

[RB/06052015/A]

#### 7.0 Equalities implications

- 7.1 An initial screening was completed as part of the initial sexual health review, during 2013/14 which highlighted a number of groups are disproportionately represented in sexual health service delivery. This information along with a migrant needs assessment conducted between December 2014 and March 2015 has fed into an equality impact assessment
- 7.2 There are robust monitoring systems already in place which indicate that there is a high take up of sexual health services by residents from the most deprived areas of the City. There is an on-going need to address issues that may disproportionately affect more vulnerable group's for instance earlier HIV detection in certain communities and the engagement of young people. Inclusion of these priorities will be integral components when re-commissioning the service.

#### 8.0 Environmental implications

8.1 There are no environmental implications arising as a result of this report.

#### 9.0 Human resources implications

9.1 Tendering and the impact of service change in relation to TUPE will be dealt with as a legal part of the procurement process.

#### 10.0 Corporate landlord implications

10.1 There are no corporate landlord implications arising as a result of this report.

#### 11.0 Schedule of background papers

11.1 Sexual Health Consultation, Health Scrutiny Panel, 11 December 2014



# Your Services ... Your Say Evaluation Report

## **Sexual Health**

## Wolverhampton

**Sexual Health Consultation** 

## **Consultation Evaluation Report**

3 November 2014 - 31 January 2015

#### Page

Introduction and Background	2
Consultation programme	4
Findings	5
Next Steps	12
Glossary	13



#### Introduction

This document outlines the findings from the consultation conducted by Public Health, Wolverhampton City Council, regarding the proposed remodelling of sexual health services in the city. The consultation ran for 12 weeks, between 3 November 2014 and 31 January 2015.

The intention of the new service model is to commission an integrated, joined-up sexual health system that will support Wolverhampton residents to live a healthy sexual and reproductive life. The proposed new system will support people in making confident, informed choices and will especially focus on vulnerable people.

#### **Background**

In March 2013, the Department of Health published 'A Framework for Sexual Health Improvement' which set out the national ambition for good sexual health. It provides a comprehensive package of evidence, interventions and actions to improve sexual health outcomes.

Sexual health is one of the five key national priority areas for public health. Our strategic vision is informed by the latest national policy and clinical guidelines. The key aims for Government are to improve the sexual health and wellbeing of the whole population by:

- Reducing inequalities and improving sexual health outcomes
- Building an honest, open culture where everyone is able to make informed and healthy choices about relationships and sex
- Recognising that sexual ill-health can affect all parts of society but particularly the most vulnerable

#### **Our Challenge**

Wolverhampton sexual health review (2013/14) identified particular needs within our population that includes:

- The current rate of teenage pregnancy in Wolverhampton is 42 per 1,000 population. Despite a significant improvement over the years, rates still remain above the regional (32 per 1,000) and national averages (28 per 1,000)
- The rate of teenage pregnancy varies by more than four times in different places across the city
- Almost 1 in 10 births to young women under 18 years are the result of a repeat pregnancy
- Abortion rates in Wolverhampton are consistently higher than the national average
- Wolverhampton's chlamydia positivity rates are higher than regional and national rates
- Almost 60% of HIV diagnosis is considered late
- A post-partum audit conducted on 354 maternity patient notes highlighted that 25% were 'vulnerable' mothers and additional resources are required to ensure vulnerable women access post-partum contraceptive advice

#### New proposed sexual health system

#### Overview

The planned changes are based on national research, new standards and local need. It is proposed that the services are remodelled into a city-wide 'sexual health system'. The rationale is to increase access to services and make every contact count. There will also be an increased focus on health promotion to improve outcomes for the city. The health promotion work will have two key aims: to increase awareness of available services, and to reduce the stigma attached to accessing services. This should lead to a higher uptake of sexual health services.

Nationally, the steer is for a model of one main building ('hub') with various sites ('spokes') in the community where both contraception and treatment for sexual infection will be available. In the main 'hub', all contraception and GUM services will be available for everyone. This will include people with complex issues, such as having an STI during pregnancy. 'Spokes' will offer more routine services, such as contraception and basic screening and treatment for STIs.

Additional services have been suggested to improve access locally and meet demand. All GPs will continue to offer routine services, but some GP practices will become specialists in contraception and treatment of STIs. This will provide greater choice and access to local services. Previously 'clinic in a box' was offered by youth services and voluntary organisations such as Base 25, Changing Lives and other health professionals (health visitors). These services will be rejuvenated as an integral part of providing essential contraceptive services and support in the community through a variety of 'natural settings' where particularly more vulnerable clients can be served. Some pharmacists will also expand their services to include chlamydia screening.

Further work will be required to develop sex and relationships education (SRE) in schools, this will established separately from this process and will include a focus on building young people's resilience with a particular emphasis on risky behaviours.

There will be one lead provider who must ensure that all aspects of the services described above are delivered. This includes one overarching clinical governance and risk management framework, quality assurance, contract management, consistent training, clearer service branding, marketing, communications and health promotion. The lead provider will also ensure services are delivered by GPs, pharmacies and any other providers, such as the voluntary sector.

## **DRAFT DOCUMENT** Section 2- Consultation programme

This page summarises the activities completed during the consultation period. The consultation activity has involved a wide range of stakeholders including current service users and the general

Stakeholders	Activity
Public	Online public survey and information document published. This was promoted through Wolverhampton City Council social media
Public	Pop up shop, Mander Centre. Key questions from the survey were asked to the public
Public	City Direct centre managed phone calls and referred people to the interpreting service if required (from 8am-6pm Monday to Friday and 9am-2pm on Saturday)
GPs, pharmacies and voluntary/ statutory sector organisation	Stakeholder and professionals feedback forms published and promoted on the website
GP locality meetings across Wolver- hampton	Introduction to sexual health model and commissioning process with initial feedback
Sexual Health workforce- delegates from GUM, CaSH, NCP, HIV prevention, GPs and pharmacies	One consultation workshop
Public and stakeholders events	Two consultation workshops
Local Medical Committee (LMC–GP forum) and Local Pharmaceutical Committee (LPC)	A presentation was given and the proposed model discussed with GPs and pharmacists
Team W (protected learning time for GPs)	Presentation and workshops with GPs
GUM/CaSH including other delivery sites e.g. colleges	Surveys and confidential boxes left for service users to complete
HIV prevention (Terence Higgins Trust), LGBT, substance misuse services	Surveys and confidential boxes left for service users to complete
Young people	Schools to facilitate focus groups  1 to 1 interview questionnaires with young people and young
	parents at Base 25 and Epic Café

#### **Consultation workshops**

Three workshops were held in total for members of the existing workforce, stakeholders and the general public, with delegates attending from GUM, CaSH, GPs, pharmacies local council and voluntary groups.

A total of 57 delegates attended the public and stakeholder workshops, however, there was limited attendance from the general public. Stakeholders who could not attend were asked to complete a feedback form and their responses are summarised below.

Delegates were consulted on the following categories:

- Integrating CaSH and GUM including developing identified 'spokes'
- Addressing the needs for vulnerable groups. including postpartum contraceptive care
- Training, competencies and quality measures across the system
- HIV prevention
- Community outreach and working with key partners
- GPs and pharmacies

Within each category, delegates were asked to reflect upon the following questions:

- Is this proposed model the correct approach? If not, why not?
- How would integration work in practice?
- Is this different for young people? If so, how?
- Is there anything we have not considered/missed?

Overall, there was support for the new model, with delegates agreeing that it was a positive move for local sexual health service delivery.

#### Integrating CaSH and GUM including developing identified 'spokes'

There was discussion around the stigma attached to GUM services. It was suggested that this stigma may decrease due to integration, as a service user could be attending for either CaSH or GUM. However, care must be taken to ensure that service users are not discouraged to attend due to the perceived stigma of a GUM clinic. There was some suggestion that the two clinics should remain split inside the facility. It was agreed that integration would result in a smoother referral pathway, with issues potentially being resolved in one appointment and the service user only having to attend one location.

The accessibility of the clinics, in terms of opening hours, flexibility of appointments and transport links was deemed very important. Discussions also focussed on the need for excellent promotion of services utilising modern technology. There was a strong consensus that there should be a central service directory or helpline, for both service users to access and for the workforce to use to signpost.

It was felt that we needed to ensure that the final model was deliverable to ensure that outcomes could be measured.

#### Addressing the needs for vulnerable groups including postpartum contraceptive care

It was agreed that there should be specific 'spokes 'for vulnerable groups e.g. young people only services, and that outreach should be used innovatively. Delegates spoke about the need to cater for vulnerable groups. It was suggested that existing contacts be developed for outreach work, for example, service users already accessing substance misuse services. The need for training around cultural awareness and cultural issues was highlighted, as well as potential challenges around interpretation.

Page 15

It was suggested that better co-ordinated services could be offered alongside CaSH interventions, for example, low level mental health support for those women who have had their babies removed from their care. A strong referral pathway and working collaboratively with midwifery and other health professionals was also recommended in order to encourage women to address their contraceptive needs earlier. Delegates also felt that service users with complex or additional needs (for example people with learning difficulties) could be co-ordinated through the main 'hub' with support from other providers.

#### Training, competencies and quality measures across the system

Delegates agreed that good training was essential for the new model. They told us that there should be a minimum level of training for all workers, and that training should be standardised and follow a competency framework dependent on the level of provision and role. However, it was suggested that the training programme needs to include how to engage with vulnerable groups.

There was some discussion about the feasibility of dual training for all CaSH and GUM staff, including sessional staff who cover absence and busy periods . It was important that every one was trained and could offer the full range of sexual health interventions.

#### **HIV** prevention

There was universal agreement that the stigma around HIV prevention and testing must be reduced. It was highlighted that testing for HIV should be available more widely, possibly as a routine test alongside other tests, or when a new patient registers with a GP. Delegates discussed the need to diagnose early and for health providers, particularly GP's and hospital staff, to be aware of the 'warning signs'. There was also discussion around addressing myths about HIV, for instance promoting the benefits of early diagnosis and that it is no longer a 'death sentence'. There were varied opinions regarding point of care testing, for example, in pharmacies where there may not be sufficient follow up support if a positive result is detected.

#### Community outreach and working with key partners

It was agreed that community outreach was an essential part of the new model. It was recommended that relationships with existing partners be expanded and their expertise utilised, for example, voluntary groups that may have existing contact with vulnerable people. Level 0 training could be offered to people within the community, such as foster carers and voluntary workers. There was a suggestion that a 'spoke' could be attached to a school or college. Emphasis was placed on providing services when and where users needed to access them, for example, at night time for 'sex workers'. Some delegates suggested that some users may feel uncomfortable using services close to home in case they were seen by friends or family, but this could be resolved by using a central, more 'anonymous hub' instead. Suggestions of partners to deliver 'spokes' included health centres, youth groups, pupil referral units, Looked After Children nurses, the leaving care team, hostels and supported housing.

#### **GPs and pharmacies**

It was suggested that GP and pharmacist training needed to be high quality and auditable. There may be a particular need for training around issues for young people, HIV and LGBT service users. There were some concerns about the availability of GP appointments and that pharmacists may need a separate consultation room to ensure confidentiality.

Overall, the key findings from the workshops were:

- the importance of effective promotion of services
- one directory of services for users and professionals
- expansion of innovative outreach services
- targeted services for certain groups
- the importance of a robust quality assured training programme
- effectively managing the potential stigma of joint GUM and CaSH clinics

<u>Recommendation 1</u>: Effective communication and promotion of all services through the use of modern technology; investigate the potential to create a single point of contact (SPOC) directory for both service users and professionals to use for signposting

<u>Recommendation 2</u>: Develop a robust co-ordinated sexual health offer enhancing outcomes, particularly to vulnerable groups, by linking up with other key services such as maternity, Local Authority Children and Family Services, Drug and Alcohol Services and the Sexual Assault Referral Unit

#### **Consultation with Young People**

A series of young person specific consultation events were conducted in five schools, one special school, one young parent group and one drop in at Base 25, a young person's service base. There was overall support for the model of integrated CaSH and GUM and enhanced GP surgeries. Young people stated that they wanted services to be centrally located (although discreet) with good transport links. The 'spokes' would provide a local offer for those who favoured locally based services.

Confidentiality was a significant issue for young people, they perceived that there was a lack of understanding of their right to confidential services and that there was no trust that confidentiality would be maintained by professionals. Acceptance was raised as an important issue for young people, both by peers and professionals. Not wanting to be judged negatively was frequently highlighted and it was felt that greater social acceptability should be attached to taking care of your sexual health needs. One group stated that the fear of social reaction outweighed the fear of becoming ill. Participants indicated that there is a clear need to focus on communication, marketing and promotion of local services as this was cited as the main barrier to young people accessing services. Suggestions included better advertising of location and services offered.

The top three ways young people want to access information was through school/education, the school nurse and online. Youth settings, pharmacies and GP surgeries were also seen as a good source of information. The role schools can play was stressed both by those currently at school and those that had left.

Responses were also evenly split between self-testing for STIs and testing by professionals. GPs were frequently cited as the way young people would access services currently, but often the main reason for this was that they were unaware of local alternatives.

Young people with physical/learning disabilities answered questions in a similar way to the rest of the sample except for they preferred a split waiting area. They also emphasised the importance of accessible transport for young people with physical/learning disabilities.

Overall young people wanted better information on what is provided and where in order to access services more effectively.

**Recommendation 3:** Develop communication, health promotion and social marketing plans to address the stigma associated with sexual health amongst young people, encourage use of services and educate young people on their rights to confidentiality

**Recommendation 4:** Ensure that a young person focused offer is developed with particular links to young people services including schools

Recommendation 5: 'You're Welcome Standards' should be adopted to drive quality

#### **Current service users of Terence Higgins Trust (HIV support)**

Surveys were conducted with current service users of the Terrence Higgins Trust. The majority of service users believed it would be beneficial to have an integrated CaSH and GUM service. Although 64% of the participants approved of mixed gender waiting rooms, one of the key concerns around the integrated model was regarding confidentiality and seeing people you know at the clinic. Service users agreed that GPs could provide enhanced services but raised issues around availability of appointments and short appointment times.

A small number of service users raised concerns around confidentiality with their GP and that they wouldn't want their GP to know they had contracted an STI/HIV. Respondents indicated they would be happy to use 'self-service' to access condoms and although GPs are seen as important in delivering contraceptive methods, condoms and pregnancy tests, they were not rated as highly as a choice for STI/HIV testing. There was no response regarding access to EHC, which could suggest either the acronym isn't recognised or there is no awareness of emergency hormonal contraception.

Service users indicated that self-service chlamydia testing would be welcomed. Respondents wanted a mix of drop in and fixed appointments available with primarily telephone booking. The most important factor regarding location was accessibility and proximity to public transport. They also emphasised their desire for the service providers to listen to them, be friendly, welcoming, polite and for clinics to run on time.

#### **Primary Care**

#### **Local Pharmaceutical Committee**

The Local Pharmaceutical Committee (LPC) supported the model but added that consideration should be given of how pharmacies can contribute to the model. They proposed providing EHC to young people with safeguarding training, the collection of samples for STI testing, oral contraception, free condom provision, chlamydia screening and treatment, testing for Blood Bourne Viruses and signposting to sexual health services.

The LPC requested that in the commissioning of services, consideration needs to be given to the time required to deliver a professional and sensitive service which should be reflected within a fair tariff. There was concern that time pressures could decrease the effectiveness of the service. Training was highlighted as key to ensure the standard of service is maintained, particularly for new staff.

#### Team W (protected learning for Wolverhampton GPs)

The proposed model was presented at the workshop feedback indicated that the integration of CaSH and GUM was viewed positively. However, GPs raised a number of concerns regarding the proposed role of primary care in the model, including:

- Lack of capacity within GP surgeries, with resources already over-stretched
- Routine HIV testing being too time consuming, especially with any additional counselling that may be required
- Concerns that standard GP appointment times of ten minutes was too short to provide a thorough sexual health examination as highlighted in the model

GPs suggested that if the model was put into practice, HIV testing and other sexual health services could be provided by non-GPs or nurses within the practice. This could include receptionists signposting how to get a HIV test or providing DIY testing boxes in the surgery, which is already underway in some surgeries for chlamydia testing. GPs wanted to understand the demographic of their patients in more detail and requested data for their surrounding areas regarding transmission and infection rates. It was suggested that a small number of selected surgeries could provide the enhanced services in areas of need, which may reduce unnecessary training for GPs where there is low demand for such services.

The general consensus was that level 2 services were too complex for most GPs to deliver at this point and that the current capacity would restrict provision of these services.

**Recommendation 6:** Expand sexual health services allocated to pharmacies in the new model

<u>Recommendation 7:</u> Scope further developmental work and training with GPs and Practice Nurses to ensure that engagement and capacity building is prioritised prior to rolling out the primary care element

#### Other feedback

Other feedback from a variety of sources has been positive. During the pop up shop, we asked members of the public two simple questions:

- 1. Is it a good idea that GUM and CaSH are delivered together?
- 2. How important is it for at least one building to be centrally located?

32 respondents out of 35 agreed that CaSH and GUM should be integrated and 30 respondents out of 35 indicated that the main 'hub' should be centrally located.

The new model was also reviewed by the Health Scrutiny panel who were supportive of the proposal particularly, in regards of the outreach work in areas of most need.

#### **Public survey results**

492 responses to the survey were received. The majority of the survey was completed by the following age groups 16 - 24 (40% n = 137) followed by 25 - 34 (25% n = 86) and 35 - 44 (19% n = 64). The majority of the participants were White British (63% n = 208) followed by smaller numbers from the following ethnic groups; Asian British, Black Caribbean, Black British, Black African, Black and White Caribbean, Black British African and White Polish. Some analysis has been done by differentiating between White British and all other ethnic groups — however, this is caveated by the acknowledgement that within the non- White British group there are many differences, interests and backgrounds and therefore it is very difficult to draw any firm conclusions — rather, these statements are intended to raise issues to consider whilst commissioning, rather than to provide any firm 'findings' regarding various demographics.

Overall, there was support for the proposed model, with 79% (n=375) of respondents agreeing that a joint service under one roof was a good idea. The biggest concerns about an integrated service was a lack of privacy and a busier service, but respondents also acknowledged that it could be more convenient to refer between the two services under one roof. Concerns around enhanced GP services included insufficient appointment slots available and that GPs were already too busy. There were some concerns regarding not wanting their GP to know they had an infection and GPs lack specialist training in sexual health. However, 20% (n=49) of respondents said there would be no issues seeing their GP for their sexual health needs. A small majority (52% n=219) said that mixed male and female waiting rooms was a good idea and only 30% (n=123) specifically said they did not want mixed waiting rooms.

Concerns around mixed waiting rooms centred around feelings of embarrassment, but those who preferred mixed rooms said that being able to wait with your partner would be beneficial. Respondents who were not White British tended to be more likely to be opposed to mixed waiting rooms (38% n=40 said separate areas should be provided). The most important attribute of the clinic was to feel 'friendly', followed by 'professional' and 'child friendly'.

There was equal preference for booked appointments only and a mixture of booked and drop in clinics, and booking by phone was by far the most popular option, with 353 respondents (83%) choosing that option, followed by online. (Respondents could select more than one option). The internet was the most popular option to find information regarding sexual health, although non-White British respondents were less likely to prefer the web, favouring face to face information as much as the internet.

Survey participants valued a central location, with parking and good public transport links. Some respondents said that they wanted the clinic to be central but located in a discreet or more private place. There was agreement that voluntary sector organisations should be used to help deliver sexual health services and when asked about where community services should be located, need was rated as the most important factor when considering location.

Pregnancy testing, Long Acting Reversible Contraception, and STI screening and treatment were the most popular sexual health services required from the GP. Respondents were more likely to use their pharmacy for free condoms and Emergency Hormonal Contraception. Overall, people aged 16-24 were more likely to use sexual health services at pharmacies than the general population. The most popular time for a HIV test would be when attending a sexual health clinic, followed by at a hospital appointment or when registering with a new GP. There was a limited response to this question.

There was equal preference to be tested for chlamydia by a professional and through a self-testing kit, which most respondents would prefer to collect from either a pharmacy or sexual health clinic. The most popular places to get free condoms and lubricant from were the sexual health clinic, GP and pharmacy and a third (n= 42) of 16 – 24 year olds said that the cost and/or availability of condoms and lubricant prohibits use. Overall, 35% (n= 123) of respondents thought that the changes would make them use the service more and 39% (n= 138) would use them the same amount. Participants thought that the changes would have a positive impact on members of vulnerable groups, primarily due to improved access to services.

**Recommendation 8:** Address equality gaps through an equality impact assessment that is shared with potential providers

**Recommendation 9:** Detailed summary of the survey to be distributed to potential providers in order to ensure that comments and suggestions are incorporated into service delivery plan

**Next Steps** 

This report will be published on the website below and presented to the Council's Health Scrutiny Panel. The next steps are highlighted in the commissioning timeline outlined below

Notice of re-commissioning and re-tendering	Commissioning Intentions have been notified to all current services in February/March 2015. Notice will be served in line with contractual requirements and the commissioners will work to minimise any uncertainty about services over the next 12 months.
Consultation	Public consultation on the sexual health proposed model ran between 3 November 2014 to 31 January 2015.  Consultation findings/recommendations will inform the final specification.
Market day event	Invite Sexual Health providers to discuss model and commissioning intentions on 20 March 2015
Tendering process and contract awarded	May 2015 – December 2015
Start of new Sexual Health Service	June 2016

## **Section Six: Glossary**

CaSH clinic: -Contraception and Sexual Health Clinic: an integrated Family Planning and STI clinic

Chlamydia: a sexually transmitted infection (STI) caused by bacteria

**Contraception**: a range of methods for preventing pregnancy, including use of hormones, surgery and devices

**Commissioning:** is the process by which Local Authorities decide how to spend the money they receive to get the best possible services and outcomes for local people to meet the needs of the community

**Emergency Hormonal Contraception**: commonly known as the "morning after" pill. Used to prevent pregnancy after unprotected (without a condom or other form of contraception) sex

**GUM**: genitourinary medicine: the diagnosis and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs)

**GUM clinic:** Genitourinary Medicine clinic: also known as sexual health clinic - they provide a range of sexual health services to treat and prevent sexually transmitted infections. Sometimes combined with family planning clinics

**HIV:** Human Immunodeficiency Virus: a sexually transmitted virus that attacks the immune system and may lead to AIDS

**LARC**: Long Acting Reversible Contraceptives - A range of "fit and forget" contraceptives which can be used by all women for example implants or depo injection

**Point of care testing (POCT):** these are simple medical blood tests which can be performed in a non-clinical environment

**Post-partum**: the period beginning immediately after the birth of a child and extending for about six weeks

**Procurement:** the process of purchasing goods and/or services.

**Service specification**: a detailed description of the nature and scope of the service required, the user groups for whom the service, will be provided and the overall purpose and aims of the service.

**Sexual health clinics:** provide a range of sexual health services to treat and prevent sexually transmitted infections. Sometimes combined with family planning clinics-i.e. GUM

Sexually transmitted infections (STIs): infections passed by oral, vaginal and anal sexual contact

**Tendering:** the process of inviting parties to submit an offer to provide goods and/or services by public advertisement, followed by the evaluation of offers and selecting a successful bidder.

# **Sexual Health**

#### For more information contact:

#### Ravi Seehra

Public Health Commissioning Officer Wolverhampton City Council

E-mail: phcommissioning@wolverhampton.gov.uk

Or telephone (01902) 557949 or 558667



Page 24 Public Health Wolverhampton